

## Equivariant cohomology and localization for Lie algebroids

U. BRUZZO,<sup>‡\*</sup> L. CIRIO,<sup>‡\*</sup> P. ROSSI<sup>‡</sup> and V. RUBTSOV<sup>¶§</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati,  
Via Beirut 4, 34013 Trieste, Italy

\* Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste

¶ Université d'Angers, Département de Mathématiques,  
UFR Sciences, LAREMA, UMR 6093 du CNRS,  
2 bd. Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex 01, France

§ ITEP Theoretical Division,  
25, Bol. Tcheremushkinskaya str., 117259, Moscow, Russia

16 June 2005, revised 9 October 2006, 4 February 2008 and 28 June 2008

### Abstract

Let  $M$  be a manifold carrying the action of a Lie group  $G$ , and  $A$  a Lie algebroid on  $M$  equipped with a compatible infinitesimal  $G$ -action. Out of these data we construct an equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology and prove for compact  $G$  a related localization formula. As an application we prove a Bott-type formula.

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C12, 53D17, 55N91

U. B. and V. R. gratefully acknowledge financial support and hospitality during the respective visits to Université d'Angers and SISSA. This work was partially supported by the I.N.F.N. project PI14.

# 1 Introduction

The notion of Lie algebroid, which may be regarded as a natural generalization of the tangent bundle to a manifold, allows one to treat in a unified manner several geometric structures, such as Poisson manifolds, connections on principal bundles, foliations, etc. Since in many situations one can associate a Lie algebroid to a singular foliation, Lie algebroids seem to provide a way for generalizing several constructions (e.g., connections) to singular settings. Recently several field-theoretic models based on Lie algebroids have been proposed, see e.g. [23].

Every Lie algebroid intrinsically defines a cohomology theory. On the other hand, the theory of  $G$ -differential complexes developed in [12] encompasses the case of the *equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology* that one can define when a Lie algebroid carries a (possibly infinitesimal) action of a Lie group  $G$  compatible with an action of  $G$  on the base manifold  $M$ . A natural question is whether one can generalize to this setting the usual localization formula for equivariant (de Rham) cohomology. This seems to have also a certain interest for the applications; for instance, recently the localization formula has been used to compute the partition function of  $N = 2$  super Yang-Mills theory [19, 4]. In this case the relevant cohomology is the equivariant de Rham cohomology of the instanton moduli space — the moduli space of framed self-dual connections on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (i.e., the relevant Lie algebroid is the tangent bundle to the instanton moduli space). It seems plausible that super Yang-Mills theories with a different number of supersymmetry charges may be treated in a similar way with a different choice of a Lie algebroid on the instanton moduli space.

In this paper we present a localization formula for equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology. When a Lie algebroid  $A$  on a (compact oriented) manifold  $M$  carries a (infinitesimal) action of a Lie group, one can introduce an equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology. If one twists such cohomology by means of the orientation bundle naturally associated with  $A$ , equivariant cocycles can be integrated on  $M$ . If the group action has only isolated fixed points, the value of the integral can be calculated as a finite sum of suitably defined residues at the fixed points.

This localization formula of course reduces to the usual one for equivariant de Rham cohomology when the Lie algebroid  $A$  is the tangent bundle  $TM$ . In a similar way, it encompasses a number of classical localization formulas, providing new proofs for them. For instance, it implies a generalization to the Lie algebroid setting of Bott's theorem about zeroes of vector fields (and also related formulas due to Cenkli and Kubarski [9, 15]). This generalizes Bott's formula exactly in the same sense as the notion of Lie algebroid generalizes that of tangent bundle. Moreover, when  $M$  is complex and  $A$  is the Atiyah algebroid of a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $M$ , our localization formula can be specialized so as to produce localization formulas due to Baum-Bott [1], Chern [10], Carrell and Lieberman [8, 7] and K. Liu [16]. These aspects will be developed in [5]. Another localization formula which is generalized is the one given in [22]. But many more examples can be given.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review the basic definitions and some constructions concerning Lie algebroid cohomology. Section 3 introduces the equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology, basically within the framework of the theory of  $G$ -differential complexes developed in [12]. Moreover, we prove our localization formula. In Section 4, following [17], we review one of the several approaches to connections on Lie algebroids and use this to

construct equivariant characteristic classes for Lie algebroids. This will be used in Section 5 to prove a Bott-type formula.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the referees and the editor A. A. Rosly for several useful remarks which helped to substantially improve the presentation and the wording of many basic concepts. We are grateful to P. Bressler and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach for their attention to this work.

## 2 Lie algebroid cohomology

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the space of vector fields on  $M$  equipped with the usual Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *An algebroid  $A$  over  $M$  is a vector bundle on  $M$  together with a vector bundle morphism  $a: A \rightarrow TM$  (called the anchor) and a structure of Lie algebra on the space of global sections  $\Gamma(A)$ , such that*

1.  $a: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism;
2. the following Leibniz rule holds true for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$  and every function  $f$ :

$$\{\alpha, f\beta\} = f\{\alpha, \beta\} + a(\alpha)(f)\beta$$

(we denote by  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  the bracket in  $\Gamma(A)$ ).

Morphisms between two Lie algebroids  $(A, a)$  and  $(A', a')$  on the same base manifold  $M$  are defined in a natural way, i.e., they are vector bundle morphisms  $\phi: A \rightarrow A'$  such that the map  $\phi: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A')$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and the obvious diagram involving the two anchors commutes.

To any Lie algebroid  $A$  one can associate the cohomology complex  $(C_A^\bullet, \delta)$ , with  $C_A^\bullet = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$  and differential  $\delta$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\xi)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} a(\alpha_i)(\xi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{p+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \xi(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\xi \in C_A^p$  and  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p+1$ . The resulting cohomology is denoted by  $H^\bullet(A)$  and is called the cohomology of the Lie algebroid  $A$ .

*Remark 2.2.* One should notice that if  $A$  is a vector bundle and  $\delta$  is a derivation of degree  $+1$  of the graded algebra  $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$  which satisfies  $\delta^2 = 0$ , out of  $\delta$  one can construct an anchor  $a: A \rightarrow TM$  and a Lie bracket on  $\Gamma(A)$  making  $A$  into a Lie algebroid. One simply defines

$$\begin{aligned} a(\alpha)(f) &= \delta f(\alpha) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma(A), f \in C^\infty(M), \\ \xi(\{\alpha, \beta\}) &= a(\alpha)(\xi(\beta)) - a(\beta)(\xi(\alpha)) - \delta\xi(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A), \xi \in \Gamma(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

△

We recall some examples of Lie algebroids.

*Example 2.3.* An involutive distribution inside the tangent bundle (i.e., a foliation) is a Lie algebroid, whose anchor is injective.  $\triangle$

*Example 2.4.* [24] Let  $\mathfrak{M} = (M, \mathcal{F})$  be a supermanifold; in particular,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras on the differentiable manifold  $M$  that can be realized as the sheaf of sections of the exterior algebra bundle  $\Lambda^\bullet(E)$  for a vector bundle  $E$ . Let  $D$  be an odd supervector field on  $\mathfrak{M}$  squaring to zero. Then  $E^*$ , with an anchor and a Lie algebra structure on  $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet E^*)$  given according to Remark 2.2 by  $D$  regarded as a differential for the complex  $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet E)$ , is a Lie algebroid. Of course, starting from a Lie algebroid we can construct a supermanifold with an odd supervector field on it squaring to zero, so that the two sets of data are equivalent.  $\triangle$

*Example 2.5.* Let  $(M, \Pi)$  be a Poisson manifold, where  $\Pi$  a Poisson tensor. In this case  $A = T^*M$  with the Lie bracket of differential forms

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi(\beta)}\alpha - d\Pi(\alpha, \beta) \quad (1)$$

(where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lie derivative) and the anchor is the Poisson tensor. The cohomology of  $A$  is the Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology of  $(M, \Pi)$ .  $\triangle$

*Example 2.6.* Let  $P \xrightarrow{p} M$  be a principal bundle with structure group  $G$ . One has the Atiyah exact sequence of vector bundles on  $M$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ad}(P) \rightarrow TP/G \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

(a connection on  $P$  is a splitting of this sequence). Sections of the vector bundle  $TP/G$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with  $G$ -invariant vector fields on  $P$ . On the global sections of  $TP/G$  there is a natural Lie algebra structure, and taking the surjection  $TP/G \rightarrow TM$  as anchor map, we obtain a Lie algebroid — the *Atiyah algebroid* associated with the principal bundle  $P$ .

If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $M$ , we can also associate an Atiyah algebroid with it: in this case indeed one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^1(E) \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

where  $\text{Diff}_0^1(E)$  is the bundle of 1-st order differential operators on  $E$  having scalar symbol [14], and again  $\text{Diff}_0^1 E$ , with the natural Lie algebra structure on its global sections and the natural map  $\text{Diff}_0^1(E) \rightarrow TM$  as anchor, is a Lie algebroid. The two notions of Atiyah algebroid coincide when  $P$  is the bundle of linear frames of a vector bundle  $E$  (indeed, an element in  $T_u P$  is given by an endomorphism of the fibre  $E_{p(u)}$  and a vector in  $T_{p(u)}M$ ).

Lie algebroids whose anchor map is surjective, as in the case of the Atiyah algebroids, are called *transitive*.  $\triangle$

Following [11] we now describe a twisted form of the Lie algebroid cohomology together with a natural pairing between the two cohomologies. This will be another ingredient for the localization formula. Let  $Q_A$  be the line bundle  $\wedge^r A \otimes \Omega_M^m$ , where  $r = \text{rk } A$  and  $m = \dim M$ , and  $\Omega_M^m$  is the bundle of differential  $m$ -forms on  $M$  (later on we shall denote by  $\Omega^m(M)$  the

global sections of this bundle). For every  $s \in \Gamma(A)$  define a map  $L_s = \{s, \cdot\} =: \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$  by letting

$$L_s(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \{s, s_i\} \wedge \cdots \wedge s_k.$$

Moreover define a map

$$\begin{aligned} D: \Gamma(Q_A) &\rightarrow \Gamma(A^* \otimes Q_A) = \Gamma(A^*) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(Q_A) \\ D\tau(s) &= L_s(X) \otimes \mu + X \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a(s)}\mu \end{aligned}$$

if  $\tau = X \otimes \mu \in \Gamma(Q_A)$  and  $s \in \Gamma(A)$ . We consider the twisted complex  $\tilde{C}_A^\bullet = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^* \otimes Q_A) = C_A^\bullet \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(Q_A)$  with the differential  $\tilde{\delta}$  defined by

$$\tilde{\delta}(\xi \otimes \tau) = \delta\xi \otimes \tau + (-1)^{\deg(\xi)} \xi \otimes D\tau$$

and  $\xi \in C_A^\bullet = \bigoplus_{k=0}^r \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ . We denote the resulting cohomology  $H^\bullet(A, Q_A)$ .

There is a naturally defined map<sup>1</sup>  $p: \tilde{C}_A^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-r+m}(M)$

$$p(\psi \otimes X \otimes \mu) = (a(\psi \lrcorner X)) \lrcorner \mu.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The morphism  $p$  is a chain map,<sup>2</sup> in the sense that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C}_A^k & \xrightarrow{p} & \Omega^{k-r+m}(M) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\delta} & & \downarrow d \\ \tilde{C}_A^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{p} & \Omega^{k-r+m+1}(M) \end{array} \quad (4)$$

commutes up to a sign, i.e., on  $C_A^k$  one has

$$p \circ \tilde{\delta} = (-1)^k d \circ p. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* If we define a Lie derivative on  $\tilde{C}_A^\bullet$  by letting  $L_s = i_s \circ \tilde{\delta} + \tilde{\delta} \circ i_s$  for elements  $s \in \Gamma(A)$ , this satisfies the commutation relation

$$p \circ L_s = \mathcal{L}_{a(s)} \circ p \quad (6)$$

on  $\tilde{C}_A^k$ . By using this identity we may prove (5) by descending induction on  $k$ . For  $k = r$  the identity reduces to  $0 = 0$ . For smaller values of  $k$  it is enough to prove the identity for those  $c' \in \tilde{C}_A^k$  that can be represented as  $c' = i_s c$  for some  $s \in \Gamma(A)$  and  $c \in \tilde{C}_A^{k+1}$ . In this case the result follows from a simple computation which uses (6).  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>We use interchangeably the notations  $\psi \lrcorner$  and  $i_\psi$  to denote the inner product with an element  $\psi$ , according to typographical convenience.

<sup>2</sup>We are thankful to A. Rosly for pointing out this fact, and for calling to our attention the following proof.

Let  $M$  be compact and oriented, and note that since  $\tilde{C}_A^r \simeq \Omega^m(M)$  (canonically), one can integrate elements of  $\tilde{C}_A^r$  over  $M$ . There is a nondegenerate pairing

$$\begin{aligned} C_A^k \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \tilde{C}_A^{r-k} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \xi \otimes (\psi \otimes X \otimes \mu) &\mapsto \int_M (\xi \wedge \psi, X) \mu. \end{aligned}$$

A version of Stokes' theorem holds for the complex  $\tilde{C}_A^\bullet$  [11]: if  $c \in \tilde{C}_A^{r-1}$ , then

$$\int_M \tilde{\delta}c = 0.$$

This formula follows from the identity (5) for  $k = r - 1$ . It implies that the pairing descends to cohomology, yielding a bilinear map

$$H^\bullet(A) \otimes H^{r-\bullet}(A, Q_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

This pairing in general may be degenerate.

One also has a natural morphism  $C_A^\bullet \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \tilde{C}_A^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{C}_A^\bullet$  which is compatible with the degrees. Again this descends to cohomology and provides a cup product

$$H^i(A) \otimes H^j(A, Q_A) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(A, Q_A). \quad (8)$$

### 3 Equivariant cohomology and localization

In this section we introduce an equivariant cohomology for Lie algebroids, basically following the pattern exploited in [12] to define equivariant cohomology for Poisson manifolds (and falling within the general theory of equivariant cohomology for  $G$ -differential complexes developed there). Moreover, we write a localization formula for the equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology (Theorem 3.2).

We assume that there is an action of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the Lie algebroid  $A$ , i.e., that there is a Lie algebra map

$$b: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(A). \quad (9)$$

By composing this with the anchor map we obtain a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho} = a \circ b: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , i.e., an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ . Lie algebra maps like our  $b$  have been introduced in [18] in the case of the Atiyah algebroids under the name of “derivation representations.”

*Example 3.1.* (Cf. [25]) Let  $\Pi$  be a regular Poisson tensor on  $M$  (i.e.,  $\Pi$  has constant rank), and let  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Im}(\Pi)$  be the associated symplectic distribution. The family of symplectic forms defined on the leaves of the distribution  $\mathcal{S}$  yields an isomorphism  $\mathcal{S} \simeq \mathcal{S}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is a subbundle of  $TM$  and  $\Gamma(\ker \Pi)$  is an ideal in  $\Omega^1(M)$  with respect to the Lie algebroid structure in  $T^*M$  given by the bracket in Eq. (1). Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is a Lie subalgebroid of  $TM$ ; its cohomology is called the *tangential Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology*. Assume now that  $M$  carries the action  $\rho$  of a Lie group  $G$ ; if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  let us denote by

$$\xi^* = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{\exp(-t\xi)}|_{t=0}$$

the corresponding fundamental vector field (thus, we have a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}(\xi) = \xi^*$ ). If the  $G$ -action is tangent to  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , we obtain an infinitesimal action  $b: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^*)$  (this is what in [12] is called a *cotangential lift*).  $\triangle$

If for a  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  the point  $x \in M$  is a zero of  $\xi^*$  then we have the usual endomorphism

$$L_{\xi,x}: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M, \quad L_{\xi,x}(v) = [\xi^*, v].$$

We consider the graded vector space

$$\mathfrak{A}^\bullet = \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$$

with the grading

$$\deg(\mathcal{P} \otimes \beta) = 2 \deg(\mathcal{P}) + \deg \beta$$

where  $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ .

We will consider  $\mathcal{P}$  as a polynomial function on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and define the equivariant differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{A}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{\bullet+1}$

$$(\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{P} \otimes \beta))(\xi) = \mathcal{P}(\xi) (\delta(\beta) - i_{b(\xi)}\beta), \quad (10)$$

where both sides have been evaluated on an element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If we denote  $\mathfrak{A}_G^\bullet = \ker \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ , then  $(\mathfrak{A}_G^\bullet, \delta_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a complex, whose cohomology we denote  $H_G^\bullet(A)$  and call the *equivariant cohomology* of the Lie algebroid  $A$  (to be more precise, of the pair  $(A, b)$ ).

By considering the graded vector space

$$\mathfrak{Q}^\bullet = \mathfrak{A}^\bullet \otimes \Gamma(Q_A) = \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^* \otimes Q_A)$$

with a differential  $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  obtained by coupling  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$  with the differential  $D$ , and letting  $\mathfrak{Q}_G^\bullet = \ker \tilde{\delta}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ , one also has a twisted equivariant cohomology  $H_G^\bullet(Q_A)$ , and there is a cup product

$$H_G^i(A) \otimes H_G^k(Q_A) \rightarrow H_G^{i+k}(Q_A).$$

We write now a localization formula. In view of Proposition 2.7, its right-hand side can be computed by means of the usual localization formula in equivariant de Rham cohomology; the integral of an equivariantly closed  $\mathfrak{Q}_G^\bullet$ -cocycle  $\gamma(\xi)$  is actually the integral of the differential form  $p(\gamma(\xi))$ , and  $p(\gamma)$  is a cocycle in the equivariant de Rham complex due to Proposition 2.7. It is indeed quite easy to prove the identity

$$p(\tilde{\delta}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma)) = (-1)^k d_{\mathfrak{g}}(p(\gamma)) \quad (11)$$

when  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}_G^k$ . Here  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the differential in the usual equivariant de Rham cohomology. This follows from Proposition 2.7 and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\xi^*} p(\gamma) &= i_{\xi^*} [a(\psi \lrcorner X) \lrcorner \mu] = (\xi^* \wedge a(\psi \lrcorner X)) \lrcorner \mu \\ &= a(b(\xi) \wedge (\psi \lrcorner X)) \lrcorner \mu = (-1)^{k-1} a((i_{b(\xi)} \psi) \lrcorner X) \lrcorner \mu \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} p(i_{b(\xi)} \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

having set  $\gamma = \psi \otimes X \otimes \mu$ .

Let  $M$  be a closed manifold which carries the action  $\rho$  of a compact Lie group  $G$ . We also assume that  $M$  is oriented, and that a  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  has been chosen such that  $\xi^* = \tilde{\rho}(\xi)$  (this has been defined in Example 3.1) only has isolated zeroes. We denote by  $M_\xi$  the set of such zeroes. Note that due to the compactness of  $G$ , we have  $\det(L_{\xi,x}) \neq 0$  at every isolated zero  $x$ , and the dimension  $m$  of  $M$  is necessarily even (as we shall assume henceforth).

If the rank  $r$  of  $A$  is smaller than the dimension  $m$  of  $M$ , then for every equivariantly closed  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}^\bullet$  we have  $\int_M \gamma(\xi) = 0$  for dimensional reasons, since  $p(\gamma)_0 = 0$  in that case. (Here the subscript 0 denotes the piece of degree 0 in the usual de Rham grading). We may therefore henceforth assume that  $r \geq m$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a closed oriented  $m$ -dimensional manifold on which a compact Lie group  $G$  acts. Let  $A$  be a rank  $r$  Lie algebroid on  $M$ , with  $r \geq m$ , and assume that a Lie algebra homomorphism  $b: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  exists making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{b} & \Gamma(A) \\ & \searrow \tilde{\rho} & \downarrow a \\ & & \mathfrak{X}(M) \end{array} \quad (12)$$

commutative; in other terms,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho}(\xi) = \xi^*$  (here  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ ). Moreover, assume that  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  is such that the associated fundamental vector field  $\xi^*$  has only isolated zeroes. Finally, let  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}^\bullet$  be equivariantly closed,  $\tilde{\delta}_\mathfrak{g}\gamma = 0$ .

Then the following localization formula holds:

$$\int_M \gamma(\xi) = (-2\pi)^{m/2} \sum_{x \in M_\xi} \frac{p(\gamma(\xi))_0(x)}{\det^{1/2} L_{\xi,x}}. \quad (13)$$

*Proof.* Since in the left-hand side we are actually integrating the differential form  $p(\gamma(\xi))$ , the formula follows from the identity (11) and the usual localization formula.  $\square$

One easily checks that in the case of the “trivial” algebroid given by the tangent bundle with the identity map as anchor, this reduces to the ordinary localization formula for the equivariant de Rham cohomology (see e.g. [2]).

*Remark 3.3.* If  $r \geq m$  and the rank of the linear morphism  $a$  at the point  $p$  is not maximal (i.e., less than  $m$ ), then  $p(\gamma(\xi))_0(x) = 0$ .  $\triangle$

*Remark 3.4.* As a particular case of Theorem 3.2, one can state a localization formula related to the action of a vector field on  $M$ . Let  $M$  be a compact oriented  $m$ -dimensional manifold, and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  a vector field on  $M$  with isolated zeroes which generates a circle action. Let  $A$  be a rank  $r$  Lie algebroid on  $M$  such that there exists  $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(A)$  with  $a(\tilde{X}) = X$  (where  $a$  is the anchor map). Then, for the integration of a form  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^* \otimes \wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T^*M)$  such that  $\tilde{\delta}_X \gamma := (\tilde{\delta} - i_{\tilde{X}})\gamma = 0$ , the localization formula (3.2) holds.

One can replace the assumption that  $X$  generates a circle action by assuming that  $X$  is an isometry of a Riemannian metric on  $M$ .  $\triangle$



## 4 Connections and characteristic classes for Lie algebroids

Several applications of the localization formula may be given by using a notion of a characteristic class for a Lie algebroid. We start by introducing the concept of *A-connection*, cf. [17].

Let  $A$  be a Lie algebroid with anchor  $a$ , and let  $P \xrightarrow{p} M$  be a principal bundle with structure group  $K$ . Note that the pullback  $p^*A = A \times_M P$  carries a natural  $K$ -action, and  $A \simeq p^*A/K$ . Also the tangent bundle  $TP$  carries a natural  $K$ -action, and one has  $p_*(vk) = p_*(v)$  for  $v \in TP$  and  $k \in K$ , so that one has an induced map  $p_*: TP/K \rightarrow TM$  which is the anchor of the Atiyah algebroid associated with  $P$ , see Eq. (2).

**Definition 4.1.** *An A-connection on  $P$  is a bundle map  $\eta: p^*A \rightarrow TP$  such that:*

1. *the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^*A & \xrightarrow{\eta} & TP \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_* \\ A & \xrightarrow{a} & TM \end{array}$$

2.  *$\eta$  is  $K$ -equivariant, i.e.,  $\eta(uk, \alpha) = R_{k*}\eta(u, \alpha)$  for all  $k \in K$ ,  $u \in P$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . (Here  $R_k$  denotes the structural right action of an element  $k \in K$  on  $P$ .)*

If  $P$  is the bundle of linear frames of  $A$ ,  $\eta$  is called an *A-linear connection*.

By its equivariance, an  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  for a principal  $K$ -bundle  $P$  defines a bundle map  $\omega_\eta: A \rightarrow TP/K$ , called the *connection 1-section* of  $\eta$ . One has  $p_* \circ \omega_\eta = a$ .

*Remark 4.2.* 1. The usual notion of connection is recovered by taking  $A = TM$ , and  $\eta$  is then the corresponding horizontal lift  $\eta: p^*TM \rightarrow TP$ .

2. An ordinary connection on  $P$  (regarded as the associated horizontal lift  $\zeta: p^*TM \rightarrow TP$ ) defines an  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  for  $P$  by letting  $\eta = \zeta \circ p^*a$ .  $\triangle$

If  $E \xrightarrow{p_E} M$  is a vector bundle associated with  $P$  via a representation of  $K$  on a linear space, an  $A$ -connection on  $P$  defines a similar structure on  $E$ , that is, a bundle map  $\eta_E: p_E^*A \rightarrow TE$  which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_E^*A & \xrightarrow{\eta_E} & TE \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{E*} \\ A & \xrightarrow{a} & TM \end{array}$$

commutative. The  $A$ -connection  $\eta_E$  defines in the usual way a covariant derivative  $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ : if  $\phi: TP/K \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^1(E)$  is the natural map,<sup>3</sup> one sets  $\nabla_\alpha = (\phi \circ \omega_\eta)(\alpha)$ . This covariant derivative satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_\alpha(fs) = f\nabla_\alpha(s) + a(\alpha)(f)s \tag{14}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>A section  $X$  of  $TP/K$  is a  $K$ -invariant vector field on  $P$ . Since there is an obvious map  $TP \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^1(P \times V)$ , where  $V$  is the standard fibre of  $E$ , by equivariance  $X$  yields a differential operator on  $E$  whose symbol is scalar.

for all functions  $f$  on  $M$ .

Let us introduce the notion of a  $G$ -equivariant  $A$ -connection. Assume that a Lie group  $G$  acts on  $M$ , and that this action  $\rho$  lifts to an action  $\hat{\rho}$  on  $A$ ; this means that for every  $g \in G$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_g} & A \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\rho_{g^*}} & TM \end{array}$$

Moreover, we assume that  $\rho$  also lifts to an action  $\tilde{\rho}$  on the principal  $K$ -bundle  $P$  which commutes with the structural  $K$ -action.

**Definition 4.3.** A  $G$ -equivariant  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  on  $P$  is an  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^*A & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_g} & p^*A \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ TP & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{g^*}} & TP \end{array}$$

commutes for every  $g \in G$ .

Since the action of  $G$  on  $P$  commutes with the action of  $K$ , we have an induced action  $\tilde{\rho}_*$  on  $TP/K$ , and the condition for  $\eta$  to be  $G$ -equivariant may be stated in terms of the connection 1-section  $\omega_\eta$  as the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_g} & A \\ \omega_\eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega_\eta \\ TP/K & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{g^*}} & TP/K \end{array}$$

The *curvature*  $\mathcal{R}_\eta$  of an  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  on a principal  $K$ -bundle  $P$  may be defined in terms of the map  $\omega_\eta$  as the element in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^* \otimes TP/K)$  given by

$$\mathcal{R}_\eta(\alpha, \beta) = [\omega_\eta(\alpha), \omega_\eta(\beta)] - \omega_\eta(\{\alpha, \beta\}).$$

As a matter of fact  $p_* \circ \mathcal{R}_\eta = 0$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}_\eta$  is an element in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^* \otimes \text{ad}(P))$ . The curvature  $\mathcal{R}_\eta$  satisfies analogues of the *structure equations* and *Bianchi identities*. These identities are conveniently stated in terms of the so-called *exterior  $A$ -derivative*

$$\begin{aligned} D_A & : \quad \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^* \otimes TP/K) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} A^* \otimes TP/K) \\ (D_A \chi)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) & = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} [\omega_\eta(\alpha_i), \chi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{p+1})] \\ & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \chi(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

in the form

$$\mathcal{R}_\eta = D_A \omega_\eta - \frac{1}{2} [\omega_\eta, \omega_\eta], \quad D_A \mathcal{R}_\eta = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note that the equivariance of the connection may be expressed by the condition

$$[D_A, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}^*}] = 0$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Here  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}^*}$  is the Lie derivative of sections of  $TP/K$  with respect to the section  $\tilde{\xi}^*$  of  $TP/K$  induced by the vector field on  $P$  which generates the action of  $G$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}^*}(v) = [\tilde{\xi}^*, v]$ ).

If the connection  $\eta$  is equivariant, we may equivariantize these relations, in particular by defining the equivariant exterior  $A$ -derivative  $D_A^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , which acts on  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^* \otimes TP/K)$  as

$$(D_A^{\mathfrak{g}}\chi)(\xi) = D_A(\chi(\xi)) - (i_{\tilde{\xi}^*} \otimes \text{id})(\chi(\xi)).$$

Moreover we define the equivariant curvature  $R_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\eta$  as

$$(R_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}}\chi)(\xi) = R_\eta(\chi(\xi)) + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}^*}(\chi(\xi)) - [D_A, i_{\tilde{\xi}^*} \otimes \text{id}](\chi(\xi)) = R_\eta(\chi(\xi)) + \mu(\chi(\xi))$$

where the last equality defines the ‘‘moment map’’  $\mu$ . Moreover, the square brackets in this equation denote an anticommutator. An easy calculation shows that the equivariant curvature satisfies the equivariant Bianchi identity

$$D_A^{\mathfrak{g}}R_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0. \quad (16)$$

We can also write the identities (15) and (16) in a local form, involving the *local connection 1-sections* defined in the following way. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be an open cover of  $M$  over which the bundle  $P$  trivializes. Then one has local isomorphisms

$$\psi_j: (TP/K)|_{U_j} \rightarrow TU_j \times \mathfrak{k},$$

where  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . The local connection 1-sections are defined by the condition

$$\omega_j(\alpha) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \psi_j \circ \omega_\eta(\alpha)$$

(where  $\text{pr}_2$  is the projection onto the second factor of  $TU_j \times \mathfrak{k}$ ), and one analogously defines the local curvature 2-sections  $\mathcal{R}_j$ . The identities (15) are now written in the form

$$\mathcal{R}_j = \delta\omega_j + \frac{1}{2}[\omega_j, \omega_j], \quad \delta\mathcal{R}_j + [\omega_j, \mathcal{R}_j] = 0. \quad (17)$$

In the same way, the equivariant curvature may be represented by local 2-sections  $\mathcal{R}_j^{\mathfrak{g}}$  which, in view of Eq. (17), satisfy the identities

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{R}_j^{\mathfrak{g}} + [\omega_j, \mathcal{R}_j^{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0. \quad (18)$$

The Chern-Weil homomorphism is defined as follows. Let  $I^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}) = (\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathfrak{k}^*)^K$ , choose an  $A$ -connection  $\eta$  for  $P$ , and for any polynomial  $Q \in I^\ell(\mathfrak{k})$  of degree  $\ell$  define the element  $\lambda_Q \in C_A^{2\ell}$

$$\lambda_Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2\ell}) = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^\sigma \tilde{Q}(\mathcal{R}_\eta(\alpha_{\sigma_1}, \alpha_{\sigma_2}), \dots, \mathcal{R}_\eta(\alpha_{\sigma_{2\ell-1}}, \alpha_{\sigma_{2\ell}}))$$

where the summation runs over the permutations of  $2\ell$  objects and  $\tilde{Q}$  is the polarization of  $Q$ , i.e., the unique Ad-invariant symmetric function of  $\ell$  variables in  $\mathfrak{k}$  such that  $\tilde{Q}(\chi, \dots, \chi) =$

$Q(\chi)$  for all  $\chi \in \mathfrak{k}$ . One proves that this cochain is  $\delta$ -closed, and that the resulting cohomology class  $[\lambda_Q]$  does not depend on the connection, thus defining a graded ring homomorphism  $\lambda: I^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow H^{2\bullet}(A)$ . If  $\tilde{\lambda}: I^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{2\bullet}(M)$  is the usual Chern-Weil homomorphism to the de Rham cohomology of  $M$ , then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} & H_{dR}^{2\bullet}(M) \\ & \searrow \lambda & \downarrow a^* \\ & & H^{2\bullet}(A) \end{array} \quad (19)$$

Using the Chern-Weil homomorphism one can introduce various sorts of characteristic classes for the Lie algebroid  $A$ . However due to diagram (19), and somehow unpleasantly, these characteristic classes are nothing more than the image under  $a^*$  of the corresponding characteristic classes for the bundle  $E$ . To show this, choose any (ordinary) connection on  $P$  to compute a characteristic class in  $H_{dR}^\bullet(M)$ , and the  $A$ -connection associated with it (see Remark 4.2 (2)) to compute a characteristic class in  $H^\bullet(A)$ . The two characteristic classes are then related by the morphism  $a^*$  as in diagram (19).

In the following we shall use ‘‘Pontryagin-like’’ characteristic classes: namely, we take  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ , so that  $P$  is the bundle of linear frames of a complex vector bundle  $E$ . We assume that  $E$  is the complexification of a real vector bundle. Let  $Q_i$  be the  $i$ -th elementary Ad-invariant polynomial, and denote by  $\lambda_i$  the corresponding characteristic class. These characteristic classes vanish when  $i$  is odd (to check this, take for instance a connection on  $P$  which is compatible with a fibre metric on  $E$ , and compute the characteristic classes by means of the induced  $A$ -connection).

If  $Q \in I^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C}))$  is an Ad-invariant homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree  $2i$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ , one has  $Q(\mathcal{R}_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}}) \in \mathfrak{A}_G^{4i}$ . The following statement is easily proved.

**Proposition 4.4.** *The element  $Q(\mathcal{R}_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}})$  is  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed. The corresponding cohomology class  $\lambda_Q^{\mathfrak{g}}(A) \in H_G^{4i}(A)$  does not depend on the equivariant connection  $\eta$ .*

*Proof.* First one shows that the quantity  $Q(\mathcal{R}_\eta^{\mathfrak{g}})$  is  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed by using the equations (18). To prove the second claim, if  $\eta, \eta'$  are two equivariant  $A$ -connections for the principal bundle  $P$ , define the 1-parameter family of connections

$$\eta_t = t\eta' + (1-t)\eta$$

with  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and let

$$q(\eta, \eta')(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2i}) = i \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^\sigma \int_0^1 \left[ \tilde{Q}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\omega_{\eta_t}(\alpha_{\sigma_1}, \alpha_{\sigma_2}), \mathcal{R}_{\eta_t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha_{\sigma_3}, \alpha_{\sigma_4}), \dots, \mathcal{R}_{\eta_t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha_{\sigma_{2i-1}}, \alpha_{\sigma_{2i}})\right) \right] dt$$

where  $\tilde{Q}$  is the polarization of the polynomial  $Q$ . A straightforward computation which uses the identity (18) and the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{R}_{\eta_t}^{\mathfrak{g}} = D_A^{\mathfrak{g}} \frac{d}{dt}\omega_{\eta_t}$$

now shows that

$$Q(\mathcal{R}_{\eta'}^{\mathfrak{g}}) - Q(\mathcal{R}_{\eta}^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}q}(\eta, \eta')$$

whence the claim follows.  $\square$

When  $Q$  is the standard  $i$ -th elementary Ad-invariant polynomial  $\varsigma_i$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$  we shall denote by  $\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) the corresponding equivariant characteristic class (vanishing for odd  $i$ ). As we discussed previously, these are just the images under the morphism  $a^*$  (the adjoint of the anchor map) of the equivariant Chern classes of the (complexified) vector bundle  $E$ .

## 5 A Bott-type formula

As an application of our localization theorem we prove a result which generalizes the classical Bott formula [3] as well as similar results by Cenkli and Kubarski [9, 15]. Bott's formula comes in different flavours, according to the assumptions that one makes on the vector field entering the formula. The case we consider here extends the usual Bott formula for a vector field which generates a circle action and has isolated critical points.

Let  $\Phi$  be a monomial in  $n = [r/4]$  variables. Let us denote by  $W_{\Phi}$  its *total weight*, defined by assigning weight  $4i$  to its  $i$ -th variable. We can use the monomial  $\Phi$  to attach a real number to the algebroid  $A$ . Assume that  $W_{\Phi} \leq r$ , and let  $\Xi^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be an equivariant twisted cycle of degree  $r - W_{\Phi}$ , i.e.,  $\Xi^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \Omega_G^{r-W_{\Phi}}$ . Let  $\Xi = \Xi^{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \in \tilde{C}_A^{r-W_{\Phi}}$  be the zero degree term of  $\Xi^{\mathfrak{g}}$  as a function of  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ; it satisfies  $\tilde{\delta}\Xi = 0$ . We may define

$$\Phi_{\Xi}(A) = (-2\pi)^{-m/2} \int_M \Phi(\lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_{2n}(A)) \wedge \Xi$$

where the  $\lambda_i$  are the characteristic classes of the vector bundle  $A$  as defined in the previous section. This number only depends on the Lie algebroid  $A$  and on the cohomology class  $\Xi$ . We also define an element in  $\text{Sym}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Xi}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A) &= (-2\pi)^{-m/2} \int_M \Phi(\lambda_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(A), \dots, \lambda_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) \wedge \Xi^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= (-2\pi)^{-m/2} \int_M \Phi(\varsigma_2(\mathcal{R}_{\eta} + \mu), \dots, \varsigma_{2n}(\mathcal{R}_{\eta} + \mu)) \wedge \Xi^{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Of course,  $\Phi_{\Xi}(A) = \Phi_{\Xi}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)(0)$ . One has the following Bott-type result, which follows straightforwardly from Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be a rank  $r$  Lie algebroid on an  $m$ -dimensional compact oriented manifold  $M$ , and let  $\alpha \in \Gamma(A)$  be any section which generates a circle action on  $A$ , and is such that  $a(\alpha)$  has isolated zeroes. Let  $\Phi$  be a polynomial in  $n = [r/4]$  variables whose monomials have total weight  $W_{\Phi}$ . Then if  $r \geq m \geq W_{\Phi}$  one has*

$$\Phi_{\Xi}(A) = \sum_{x \in M_{a(\alpha)}} \frac{\Phi(c_2(L_{a(\alpha),x}), \dots, c_{2n}(L_{a(\alpha),x})) p(\Xi^{\mathfrak{g}})_0}{\det^{1/2} L_{a(\alpha),x}} \quad (21)$$

where the classes  $c_i(L_{a(\alpha),x})$  are the equivariant Chern classes of the endomorphism  $L_{a(\alpha),x}$  acting on the tangent space  $T_x M$  at a zero  $x$  of  $a(\alpha)$  (cf. [3]).

*Proof.* The r.h.s. of Eq. (21) is computed from the r.h.s. of the formula (13), taking into account two facts. First, we can evaluate the equivariant characteristic classes involved in  $\Phi_{\Xi}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$  by choosing in the principal bundle  $GL(A)$  an equivariant  $A$ -connection induced by an ordinary equivariant connection  $\zeta$  on the vector bundle  $A$ . In this way, we have

$$\Phi(\lambda_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(A), \dots, \lambda_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = a^*(\Phi(\nu_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(A), \dots, \nu_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A)))$$

where the classes  $\nu_i^{\mathfrak{g}}$  are equivariant Chern classes for the complexification of the vector bundle  $A$ . Secondly, if  $R_{\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the equivariant curvature of  $\zeta$ , for every symmetric elementary function  $c_i$  and every zero  $x$  of  $a(\alpha)$ , we have

$$\left( c_i(R_{\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \right)_0(x) = c_i(L_{a(\alpha), x}).$$

□

When  $A = TM$ , formula (21) reduces to the ordinary Bott formula.

## 6 Final remarks

As we shall show in a forthcoming paper [5], Theorem 5.1 generalizes several localization formulas, for instance associated with the action of a holomorphic vector field on a complex manifold which is equivariantly lifted to an action on a holomorphic vector bundle, see [1, 10, 8], and reproduces in particular Grothendieck's residue theorem.

On the other hand, our formula can be generalized in several directions. One of these would be a localization formula for an equivariant cohomology associated with the action of a Lie group on a Courant algebroid. This should encompass several formulas recently appeared in the literature, mostly concerned with generalized Calabi-Yau structures [6, 20, 21, 13] and should reproduce our formula when the Courant algebroid reduces to a Lie algebroid.

## References

- [1] P. F. Baum and R. Bott, *On the zeroes of meromorphic vector fields*, in "Essays in topology and related topics. Memoires dédiés à Georges de Rham", Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1970.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [3] R. Bott, *Vector fields and characteristic numbers*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 231–244.
- [4] U. Bruzzo, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales and A. Tanzini, *Multi-instanton calculus and equivariant cohomology*, J. High Energy Physics **05** (2003), 054–076.
- [5] U. Bruzzo and V. Rubtsov, *Holomorphic Atiyah algebroids and localization*, in preparation.

- [6] H. Bursztyn, G. R. Cavalcanti and M. Gualtieri, *Reduction of Courant algebroids and generalized complex structures*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), 726–765.
- [7] J. B. Carrell, *A remark on the Grothendieck residue map*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1978), 43–48.
- [8] J. B. Carrell and D. I. Lieberman, *Vector fields and Chern numbers*, Math. Ann. **255** (1977) 263–273.
- [9] B. Cenkli, *Zeros of vector fields and characteristic numbers*, J. Diff. Geom. **8** (1973), 25–46.
- [10] S.-S. Chern, *Meromorphic vector fields and characteristic numbers*, Scripta Math. **29** (1973), 243–251.
- [11] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu and A. Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **50** (1999), 417–436.
- [12] V. L. Ginzburg, *Equivariant Poisson cohomology and a spectral sequence associated with a moment map*, Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), 977–1010.
- [13] S. Hu and B. Uribe, *Extended manifolds and extended equivariant cohomology*, arXiv:math/0608617.
- [14] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Vector fields and generalized vector fields on fibered manifolds*, Geometry and Differential Geometry (Proc. Conf. Univ. Haifa, Israel), Lect. Notes Math., vol. 792, Springer, 1979, pp. 307–355.
- [15] J. Kubarski, *Bott’s vanishing theorem for regular Lie algebroids*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 2151–2167.
- [16] K. Liu, *Holomorphic equivariant cohomology*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 125–148.
- [17] R. Loja Fernandes, *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002), 119–179.
- [18] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **213**, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [19] N. A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten potential from instanton counting*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003), 831–864.
- [20] Y. Nitta, *Reduction of generalized Calabi-Yau structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **59** (2007), 1179–1198.
- [21] ———, *Duistermaat-Heckman formula for a torus action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold and localization formula*, arXiv:math/070226v1.
- [22] V. Rubtsov, *Topological invariants of elliptic Spencer complexes of Lie equations*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **5** (1992) 74–92 (in Russian. English translation in Russ. Math. (Iz. VUZ) **35** (1992)).

- [23] T. Strobl, *Algebroid Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004), 211601.
- [24] A. Vaintrob, *Lie algebroids and homological vector fields*, Russ. Math. Surv. **52** (1997), 428–429.
- [25] I. Vaisman, *Remarks on the Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **40** (1990), 951–963.